

Density estimates for compact surfaces with total boundary curvature less than 4π .

Theodora Bourni Giuseppe Tinaglia *

Abstract

In this paper we obtain density estimates for compact surfaces immersed in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with total boundary curvature less than 4π and with sufficiently small L^p norm of the mean curvature, $p > 2$. Our results generalize the main results in [2]. We then apply our estimates to discuss the geometry and topology of such surfaces.

1 Introduction

In [2], Ekholm, White and Wienholtz proved some density estimates to show that a classical minimal surface immersed in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with total boundary curvature at most 4π is embedded up to and including the boundary. Their results were later generalized by Choe and Gulliver to minimal surfaces in Riemannian manifolds with sectional curvatures bounded above by a non-positive constant [1].

In this paper we show that the density estimates in [2] hold for compact surfaces immersed in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with total boundary curvature less than 4π and with sufficiently small L^p norm of the mean curvature, $p > 2$. In particular, it follows that such surfaces are also embedded up to and including the boundary.

In Section 4 we prove that when $n = 3$, if the $C^{0,\alpha}$ seminorm of the mean curvature is bounded, then $|A|$ is bounded. We use this result to give a uniform upper bound for the genus of such surfaces. See [5] for the minimal case.

2 Extended monotonicity formula

We begin this section by introducing the notations and definitions that we will use in the rest of the article.

*Partially supported by The Leverhulme Trust and EPSRC grant no. EP/I01294X/1

Definition 2.1. Let M be a smooth surface. Then by a branched immersion of M into $\mathbb{R}^n$ we mean a C^1 map

$$u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

such that for any $p \in M$ there exists a neighborhood U of p such that either

- (i) $u|_U$ is an immersion, i.e. the matrix $[Du(p)]$ has rank 2, or
- (ii) u has a branch point at p , i.e. there exists an integer $m \geq 2$ such that under a diffeomorphism of M and a rotation of $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$u|_U = (z^m, f(z)) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$$

for some $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$, where we identify $(x, y) \in U$ with $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$.

From now on we let M be a compact smooth surface with smooth boundary Γ and we consider a branched immersion of M into $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

such that

$$u|_\Gamma \text{ is } 1 - 1.$$

Hence for any $p \in \overline{M}$, either (i) or (ii) of Definition 2.1 holds.

Abusing the notation, we will call the image of the surface and that of its boundary, under the map u , M and Γ as well. We let $B_r(x)$ denote the n -dimensional ball of radius r centered at x and for a ball of a different dimension we use an exponent to indicate the dimension.

In what follows we review some facts about the density of a surface, see for example [4].

Definition 2.2. The density function is

$$\Theta : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Theta(M, x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{Area}(M \cap B_r(x))}{\pi r^2},$$

where the area is defined using the area formula (cf. [4, §8]), i.e. letting $A = u^{-1}(B_r(x)) \subset M$

$$\text{Area}(M \cap B_r(x)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{H}^0(u^{-1}(y) \cap A) d\mathcal{H}^2(y) = \int_A Ju d\mathcal{H}^2.$$

Here Ju is the Jacobian of u

$$Ju(z) = \sqrt{\det(du_z)^* \circ (du_z)}$$

with $du_z : T_z M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ being the induced linear map.

Remark 2.3. The above definition along with the fact that $u|_\Gamma$ is 1-1, implies

- $\Theta(M, x) \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ when $x \in M \setminus \Gamma$ (Interior),
- $\Theta(M, x) + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ when $x \in \Gamma$ (Boundary).

In particular, we have that M is embedded around a point $x \in M$ if and only if

- $\Theta(M, x) = 1$ (namely < 2) when $x \in M \setminus \Gamma$ (Interior),
- $\Theta(M, x) = \frac{1}{2}$ (namely $< \frac{3}{2}$) when $x \in \Gamma$ (Boundary).

We now recall the definition of the mean curvature vector.

Definition 2.4. Let M be a branched immersion. Let H be a normal vector field

$$H : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

that is locally integrable. We say that M has mean curvature vector H , if

$$\int_M \operatorname{div}_M X dA = \int_M X \cdot H dA$$

for every C^1 vector field X with compact support in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Gamma$.

Remark 2.5. Note that even if the mean curvature vector exists, in general it is not true that

$$\int_M \operatorname{div}_M X dA = \int_M X \cdot H dA + \int_\Gamma X \cdot \nu_M ds \quad (1)$$

for every C^1 vector field X in $\mathbb{R}^n$, where ν_M here is the outward pointing normal to the boundary (tangent to M) and the integral over Γ is with respect to the one-dimensional Hausdorff measure.

However, if M is a branched immersion having $H \in L^p(M)$ for some $p > 2$ and Γ is $C^{1,\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, then equation (1) holds. This is because then the immersion u is $C^{1,\beta}$ up to and including the boundary, where $\beta = \min\{\alpha, 1 - n/p\}$ (cf. [3, 8.36]).

Let M be a branched immersion such that equation (1) holds, meaning that M has mean curvature vector H and equation (1) holds. Let ν_M be the outward pointing unit normal to ∂M , and for $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$ let $B(r) = B_r(x_0)$. Then arguing as in the case when $\partial M = \emptyset$ (cf. [4, §17]) and keeping track of the boundary term in equation (1) we have the following monotonicity formula with a boundary term.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{\operatorname{Area}(M \cap B(r))}{r^2} \right) &= \frac{d}{dr} \int_{M \cap B(r)} \frac{|D^\perp \rho|^2}{\rho^2} dA \\ &\quad + r^{-3} \int_{M \cap B(r)} (x - x_0) \cdot H dA - r^{-3} \int_{\partial M \cap B(r)} (x - x_0) \cdot \nu_M d\mathcal{H}^1 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\rho = \rho(x) = |x - x_0|$.

For $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ let E be the exterior cone over Γ with vertex x_0 , i.e.

$$E = \{x_0 + t(x - x_0) : x \in \Gamma, t \geq 1\},$$

$M' = M \cup E$ and define

$$m(r) = \frac{\text{Area}(M' \cap B(r))}{r^2}.$$

By use of the standard monotonicity formula (2), in [2] it is proven that when $H = 0$, $m(r)$ is a non decreasing function of r . Here we show that $m(r)$ still satisfies a monotonicity property even when the surface is not necessarily minimal.

Using equation (2) with M replaced by E , gives

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{\text{Area}(E \cap B(r))}{r^2} \right) = -r^{-3} \int_{\partial E \cap B(r)} (x - x_0) \cdot \nu_E d\mathcal{H}^1,$$

since $D^\perp \rho \equiv 0$ on E and

$$(x - x_0) \cdot H = 0 \quad \forall x \in E.$$

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} m'(r) &= \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{\text{Area}(M \cap B(r))}{r^2} \right) + \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{\text{Area}(E \cap B(r))}{r^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{d}{dr} \int_{M \cap B(r)} \frac{|D^\perp \rho|^2}{\rho^2} dA + r^{-3} \int_{M \cap B(r)} (x - x_0) \cdot H dA \\ &\quad - r^{-3} \int_{\Gamma \cap B(r)} (x - x_0) \cdot \nu_M d\mathcal{H}^1 - r^{-3} \int_{\Gamma \cap B(r)} (x - x_0) \cdot \nu_E d\mathcal{H}^1. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Furthermore, for $x \in \Gamma$, we have that

$$(x - x_0) \cdot (-\nu_E) = \max\{(x - x_0) \cdot \nu : \nu \in N_x \Gamma\}$$

where $N_x \Gamma$ is the normal space to Γ at x , thus

$$(x - x_0) \cdot (\nu_E + \nu_M) \leq 0.$$

Hence equation (3) gives the extended monotonicity formula

$$\begin{aligned} m'(r) &\geq \frac{d}{dr} \int_{M \cap B(r)} \frac{|D^\perp \rho|^2}{\rho^2} dA + r^{-3} \int_{M \cap B(r)} (x - x_0) \cdot H dA \\ &\geq r^{-3} \int_{M \cap B(r)} (x - x_0) \cdot H dA. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

We now introduce another definition.

Definition 2.6. A branched immersion M satisfies the property (P) if M has mean curvature vector H and there exist $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and a constant $\Lambda \geq 0$ such that

$$\int_{M \cap B(r)} |H| dA \leq \alpha \Lambda r^{\alpha+1} m(r), \quad \forall r > 0. \quad (\text{P})$$

Lemma 2.7. Let M be a branched immersion such that equation (1) holds and satisfying the property (P), then $e^{\Lambda r^\alpha} m(r)$ is an increasing function of r , that is

$$e^{\Lambda r^\alpha} m(r) - e^{\Lambda \sigma^\alpha} m(\sigma) \geq 0, \quad \text{for } 0 < \sigma < r < \infty. \quad (5)$$

Proof. The inequality in (4) gives

$$\begin{aligned} m'(r) &\geq -r^{-2} \int_{M \cap B(r)} |H| dA \geq -\alpha \Lambda r^{\alpha-1} m(r) \implies \\ &\frac{d}{dr} (e^{\Lambda r^\alpha} m(r)) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Integrating this from σ to r proves the lemma. $\square$

We would like to compare $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} m(r)$, which gives the density of M at x_0 with $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} m(r)$, which we will later see can be estimated using the total curvature of the boundary Γ . However, the previous lemma is not helpful when r is going to infinity. Thus, we have to find a more efficient way to compare the ratios for large r .

Let $r_0 = r_0(M)$ denote the extrinsic diameter of M , that is

$$r_0(M) = \max_{x, y \in M} |x - y|.$$

Recall that we always assume that M is compact and thus $r_0 < \infty$.

Lemma 2.8. Let M be a branched immersion such that equation (1) holds and satisfying the property (P), then for any $r \geq r_0$:

$$m(r) \geq m(r_0) \left(1 - \frac{\alpha \Lambda r_0^\alpha}{2} \left(1 - \frac{r_0^2}{r^2} \right) \right). \quad (6)$$

Proof. Integrating the inequality in (4) from r_0 to r we have (using integration by parts)

$$\begin{aligned} m(r) - m(r_0) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{M \cap B(r)} (x - x_0) \cdot H \left(\frac{1}{r_0^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) dA \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{M \cap B(r_0)} (x - x_0) \cdot H \left(\frac{1}{r_0^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) dA \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{2} \alpha \Lambda r_0^{2+\alpha} m(r_0) \left(\frac{1}{r_0^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

3 Density Estimates and Embeddedness

We now state and prove our main density estimate.

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be a branched immersion in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that equation (1) holds and satisfying the property (P). Then, for $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$*

$$\Theta(\text{Cone}_{x_0} \Gamma, x_0) \geq e^{-\Lambda r_0^\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha \Lambda r_0^\alpha}{2}\right) \Theta(M, x_0) \quad (7)$$

Proof. Let E be the exterior cone over Γ with vertex x_0 , $M' = M \cup E$ and $m(r) = \text{Area}(M' \cap B(r))/r^2$, as before. Then by the extended monotonicity formula (Lemmas 2.7, 2.8) we get for $r < r_0 < R$

$$m(R) \geq m(r_0) \left(1 - \frac{\alpha \Lambda r_0^\alpha}{2} \left(1 - \frac{r_0^2}{R^2}\right)\right) \geq e^{\Lambda(r^\alpha - r_0^\alpha)} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha \Lambda r_0^\alpha}{2} \left(1 - \frac{r_0^2}{R^2}\right)\right) m(r) \quad (8)$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 0$ and $R \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \Theta(\text{Cone}_{x_0} \Gamma, x_0) &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} m(R) \\ &\geq e^{-\Lambda r_0^\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha \Lambda r_0^\alpha}{2}\right) \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} m(r) = e^{-\Lambda r_0^\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha \Lambda r_0^\alpha}{2}\right) \pi \Theta(M, x_0). \end{aligned}$$

We note that, as in [2], in the case when $x_0 \in \Gamma$,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} m(r) = \pi \Theta(M, x_0)$$

because

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} m(r) = \pi \Theta(M, x_0) + \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{Area}(E \cap B(r))}{r^2}$$

and the last term is 0, since Γ is C^1 . $\square$

Remark 3.2. *If in the inequality (8) in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we only let $R \rightarrow \infty$ we get the following more general conclusion about the area ratios:*

$$\pi \Theta(\text{Cone}_{x_0} \Gamma, x_0) \geq e^{-\Lambda(r_0^\alpha - r^\alpha)} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha \Lambda r_0^\alpha}{2}\right) m(r), \quad \forall r \leq r_0. \quad (9)$$

The following theorem is a result from [2] that compares the density of the cone with the total curvature of Γ for points that are not in Γ .

Theorem 3.3. *Let Γ be a closed curve in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $x_0 \notin \Gamma$. Then*

$$\text{Length } \Pi_{x_0} \Gamma \leq \text{TotalCurvature } \Gamma$$

where

$$\Pi_{x_0}(x) = x_0 + \frac{x - x_0}{|x - x_0|}.$$

The theorem equivalently states the following: For the cone $\text{Cone}_{x_0} \Gamma = \{x_0 + t(x - x_0) : x \in \Gamma, 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$, since the ratios

$$\frac{\text{Area}(\text{Cone}_{x_0} \Gamma \cap B(r))}{\pi r^2} = \frac{\text{Length}(\text{Cone}_{x_0} \Gamma \cap \partial B(r))}{2\pi r} = \frac{\text{Length} \Pi_{x_0} \Gamma}{2\pi}$$

are constant, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(\text{Cone}_{x_0} \Gamma, x_0) &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{Area}(\text{Cone}_{x_0} \Gamma \cap B(r))}{\pi r^2} \\ &= \frac{\text{Length} \Pi_{x_0} \Gamma}{2\pi} \leq \frac{\text{TotalCurvature} \Gamma}{2\pi}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Using Theorems 3.3 and Theorem 3.1 gives embeddedness at interior points.

Corollary 3.4. *Let Γ be a C^1 closed curve in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with total curvature at most $(4 - \varepsilon)\pi$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$. Let M be a branched immersion in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with boundary Γ such that equation (1) holds. If $H \in L^p(M)$ for some $p \in (2, \infty]$ then there exists $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) \in (0, 1)$ such that if*

$$C(p) \|H\|_{L^p(M)} r_0^\alpha < \delta$$

then the interior of M is embedded. Here $C(p) = 1$ and $\alpha = 1$ if $p = \infty$ and $C(p) = \frac{2p}{p-2} \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/p}$ and $\alpha = 1 - 2/p$ if $p \in (2, \infty)$.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in M$. Since δ is less than one, then M satisfies the property (P) with $\Lambda = C(p) \|H\|_{L^p(M)}$ and $\alpha = 1 - 2/p$, see Lemma 5.1 and Corollary 5.3 in the Appendix. Combining Theorems 3.3 and Theorem 3.1 we get that

$$\Theta(M, x_0) \leq \frac{e^{\Lambda r_0^\alpha}}{1 - \frac{\alpha \Lambda r_0^\alpha}{2}} \Theta(\text{Cone}_{x_0} \Gamma, x_0) \leq \frac{e^\delta}{1 - \frac{\alpha \delta}{2}} \frac{\text{TotalCurvature} \Gamma}{2\pi}.$$

The corollary then holds, provided that:

$$\frac{e^\delta}{2 - \alpha \delta} (4 - \varepsilon) < 2 \quad (11)$$

since this estimate implies that

$$\Theta(M, x_0) < 2$$

(cf. Remark 2.3). □

In order to obtain embeddedness at boundary points we need to recall another result in [2].

Theorem 3.5. *Let Γ be a simple closed curve in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with finite total curvature and $x_0 \in \Gamma$. Then*

$$\text{Length } \Pi_{x_0} \Gamma \leq \text{TotalCurvature } \Gamma - \pi - \theta$$

where

$$\Pi_{x_0}(x) = x_0 + \frac{x - x_0}{|x - x_0|}$$

and θ is the exterior angle to Γ at x_0 .

Corollary 3.6. *Let Γ be a C^1 closed curve in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with total curvature at most $(4 - \varepsilon)\pi$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$. Let M be a branched immersion in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with boundary Γ and such that equation (1) holds. If $H \in L^p(M)$ for some $p \in (2, \infty]$ then there exists $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) \in (0, 1)$ such that if*

$$C(p)\|H\|_{L^p(M)}r_0^\alpha < \delta$$

then M is embedded up to and including the boundary. Here $C(p) = 1$ and $\alpha = 1$ if $p = \infty$ and $C(p) = \frac{2p}{p-2} \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/p}$ and $\alpha = 1 - 2/p$ if $p \in (2, \infty)$.

Proof. By Corollary 3.4, it suffices to prove that if δ is sufficiently small, then M is embedded at boundary points. Let $x_0 \in \Gamma$, then using Theorems 3.5 (with $\theta = \pi$, since Γ is C^1) and Theorem 3.1 we get

$$\Theta(M, x_0) \leq \frac{e^{\Lambda r_0^\alpha}}{1 - \frac{\alpha \Lambda r_0^\alpha}{2}} \Theta(\text{Cone}_{x_0} \Gamma, x_0) \leq \frac{e^{\Lambda r_0^\alpha}}{1 - \frac{\alpha \Lambda r_0^\alpha}{2}} \left(\frac{\text{TotalCurvature } \Gamma}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

Therefore the corollary holds, provided that ε is small enough to satisfy the following

$$\frac{e^\delta}{2 - \alpha\delta}(3 - \varepsilon) < \frac{3}{2} \tag{12}$$

since this estimate implies that

$$\Theta(M, x_0) < \frac{3}{2}$$

(cf. Remark 2.3). □

As in [2], our results extend to the case when the boundary Γ is piecewise C^1 . In particular the extended monotonicity formula (4) and thus Theorems 3.1, 3.4 and 3.6 hold with the C^1 condition on the boundary Γ replaced by the weaker condition of being piecewise C^1 .

Theorem 3.7. *Let Γ be a C^1 closed curve in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with total curvature at most $(4 - \varepsilon)\pi$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$. Let M be a branched immersion in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with boundary Γ and such that equation (1) holds. If $H \in L^p(M)$ for some $p \in (2, \infty]$ then there exists $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) \in (0, 1)$ such that if*

$$C(p)\|H\|_{L^p(M)}r_0^\alpha < \delta$$

then the following holds.

1. *If x_0 is a point on Γ with exterior angle θ , then the density $\Theta(M, x_0)$ is either $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\theta}{2\pi}$ or $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\theta}{2\pi}$*
2. *If x_0 is a cusp ($\theta = \pi$) then $\Theta(M, x_0) = 0$, unless Γ lies in a plane*
3. *M is embedded up to and including the boundary.*

Here $C(p) = 1$ and $\alpha = 1$ if $p = \infty$ and $C(p) = \frac{2p}{p-2} \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/p}$ and $\alpha = 1 - 2/p$ if $p \in (2, \infty)$.

We skip the proof since it is identical with that of the minimal case (cf. [2, Theorem 4.1]) with the difference that instead of Theorem 1.3 in [2] we have to use Theorem 3.1 here.

We note that in all of the previous results, the proofs provide a specific value for $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$.

4 Applications

When $n = 3$, using the density estimates we can prove a curvature estimate which is a generalization of Theorem 0.1 in [5].

We begin by introducing a few definitions.

Definition 4.1. *Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a simple closed $C^{2,\alpha}$ curve, i.e for each $x \in \Gamma$ there exists an $r = r(x) > 0$ such that*

$$M \cap B_r(x) = \text{graph } u_x \cap B_r(x),$$

where $u_x \in C^{2,\alpha}((x + L_x) \cap \overline{B}_r(x); L_x^\perp)$ for some 1-dimensional subspace L_x of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and where $\text{graph } u_x = \{\xi + u_x(\xi) : \xi \in (x + L_x) \cap \overline{B}_r(x)\}$.

Let

$$\kappa(\Gamma, r, x) = \inf \|u_x\|_{2,\alpha,(x+L_x) \cap B_r(x)}^*$$

where the infimum is taken over all choices of subspaces L_x and corresponding representing functions u_x and where for a $C^{2,\alpha}$ function $u : (-r, r) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, $\|u\|_{2,\alpha,(-r,r)}^*$ denotes the scale invariant $C^{2,\alpha}$ norm of u , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{2,\alpha,(-r,r)}^* = & \frac{1}{r} \sup_{y \in (-r,r)} |u(y)| + \sup_{y \in (-r,r)} |Du(y)| + r \sup_{y \in (-r,r)} |D^2u(y)| \\ & + r^{1+\alpha} \sup_{y,z \in (-r,r), y \neq z} \frac{|D^2u(y) - D^2u(z)|}{|y - z|^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

The quantity $\kappa(\Gamma, r, x)$ is a way to quantify the regularity of Γ around x .

Definition 4.2. Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a $C^{2,\alpha}$ simple closed curve. For each $x \in \Gamma$ let

$$\rho(x) = \sup\{r : \kappa(\Gamma, r, x) \leq 1\}.$$

Finally we define $\rho = \rho(\Gamma)$ by

$$\rho(\Gamma) = \min\{\rho(x) : x \in \Gamma\}.$$

Note that for each $x \in \Gamma$, $\rho(x) > 0$ and hence $\rho(\Gamma) > 0$.

In particular, for any $x \in \Gamma$, $B_{\rho(\Gamma)}(x) \cap \Gamma$ can be written as a graph with gradient less than one. Note that if $\rho(\Gamma) = \infty$ then Γ is a straight line. This is because

$$r|D^2u(x)| \leq 1, \forall r \implies |D^2u(x)| = 0,$$

Definition 4.3. Given $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ we say that (Γ, M) are in the $P(\varepsilon, \alpha)$ class, if the following hold:

- (i) Γ is a simple, closed $C^{1,\alpha}$ curve in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with total curvature at most $(4 - \varepsilon)\pi$.
- (ii) $\partial M = \Gamma$.
- (iii) M is a branched immersion having mean curvature $H \in L^p(M)$, where $p = 2/(1 - \alpha)$.
- (iv) $\Lambda r_0^\alpha < \delta$, where $r_0 = r_0(M)$ the diameter of M and

- If $\alpha = 1 \Leftrightarrow p = \infty$, $\Lambda = \|H\|_{L^\infty(M)}$
- If $\alpha \in (0, 1) \Leftrightarrow p \in (2, \infty)$, $\Lambda = \frac{2p}{p-2} \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/p} \|H\|_{L^p(M)}$

and $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) \in (0, 1)$ is such that

$$\frac{e^\delta}{1 - \delta} < \frac{4}{4 - \varepsilon}.$$

Note that if $(\Gamma, M) \in P(\varepsilon, \alpha)$, then equation (1) holds (see Remark 2.5), M satisfies the property (P) and Theorems 3.1, 3.4, 3.6 hold, so that M is embedded and $C^{1,\alpha}$ up to and including the boundary. Finally the area ratio bounds given in Remark 3.2 hold.

Theorem 4.4. *Given $\lambda > 0$, $\gamma > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ there exists a constant $C = C(\lambda, \gamma, \alpha)$ such that the following holds.*

Let Γ be a $C^{2,\alpha}$ closed curve in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and let M be an orientable branched immersion in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with boundary Γ . If $(\Gamma, M) \in P(\varepsilon, \alpha)$, for some $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$, and, in addition, $\rho(\Gamma)/r_0 \geq \gamma$ and the mean curvature H of M is in $C^{0,\alpha}(M)$ satisfying $r_0^{1+\alpha}[H]_{C^{0,\alpha}(M)} \leq \lambda$, then

$$\sup_M r_0 |A| \leq C,$$

where $|A|$ is the norm of the second fundamental form.

In the above theorem $[H]_{C^{0,\alpha}(M)}$, denotes the α -seminorm of H , i.e.

$$[H]_{C^{0,\alpha}(M)} = \sup_{x,y \in M, x \neq y} \frac{|H(x) - H(y)|}{|x - y|^\alpha}.$$

Proof. The hypotheses on Γ and H along with standard PDE estimates ($W^{2,p}$ estimates, cf. [3, Theorem 9.15] and the Schauder estimates) imply that M is C^2 up to and including the boundary (in particular M in $C^{2,\beta}$ for any $\beta < \alpha$). This implies that for a given surface, $|A|$ is bounded. With this theorem we are showing that the bound does not depend on the surface but only on λ, α and γ .

Arguing by contradiction, suppose that the statement is false. Then we can find a sequence of $C^{2,\alpha}$ connected simple closed curves $\Gamma_n \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with total curvature at most $(4 - \varepsilon_n)\pi$, $\varepsilon_n \in (0, 2]$, and surfaces M_n with diameter $r_0(M_n) = r_{0,n}$ and mean curvature $H_n \in C^{0,\alpha}(M_n)$ such that the following hold. The pairs $(\Gamma_n, M_n) \in P(\varepsilon, \alpha)$, in particular $\partial M_n = \Gamma_n$ and $\Lambda_n r_{0,n}^\alpha < \delta(\varepsilon_n) < 1$. Here $\Lambda_n, \delta(\varepsilon_n)$ are the corresponding Λ and δ as in Definition 4.3. Moreover $\rho(\Gamma_n)/r_{0,n} \geq \gamma$, $r_{0,n}^{1+\alpha}[H_n]_{C^{0,\alpha}(M_n)} \leq \lambda$ and there exists $p_n \in M_n$ such that

$$r_{0,n}|A_n(p_n)| = r_{0,n} \max_{\overline{M}_n} |A_n| > n,$$

We consider the sequence of surfaces $M'_n = \eta_{p_n, |A(p_n)|}(M_n)$ (where $\eta_{x,\lambda}(y) = \lambda(y - x)$ that is a rescaling and a translation). Note that for these new surfaces we have the following: Their second fundamental forms are uniformly bounded, namely

$$\sup_{M'_n} |A'_n| \leq |A_n(p_n)|^{-1} \sup_{M_n} |A_n| = 1$$

and their mean curvatures satisfy

$$[H'_n]_{0,\alpha} = |A_n(p_n)|^{-(1+\alpha)} [H_n]_{0,\alpha} \leq \lambda (r_{0,n} |A_n(p_n)|)^{-(1+\alpha)} \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\|H'_n\|_{L^p(M'_n)} = \frac{r_{0,n}^{1-2/p}}{(|A_n(p_n)|r_{0,n})^{1-2/p}} \|H_n\|_{L^p(M_n)} < \delta(\varepsilon_n)(r_{0,n}|A_n(p_n)|)^{-\alpha} \rightarrow 0.$$

A standard compactness argument, using Schauder estimates, shows that a subsequence of M'_n converges C^2 to an orientable properly embedded minimal surface $\widetilde{M}$ such that

- (i) if $\text{dist}_{M_n}(\Gamma, p_n)|A_n| \rightarrow \infty$, then $\widetilde{M}$ is complete, or
- (ii) if $\text{dist}_{M_n}(\Gamma, p_n)|A_n|$ is bounded, then $\widetilde{M}$ has a boundary B .

Moreover if case (ii) holds then B is a straight line, since for $\Gamma'_n = \partial M'_n$ we have that

$$\rho(\Gamma'_n) = |A_n(p_n)|\rho(\Gamma_n) \geq \gamma|A_n(p_n)|r_{0,n} \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using the area estimates derived in Theorem 3.1 (see also Remark 3.2) we can take the cone at infinity of $\widetilde{M}$ and arguing exactly as in [5, Theorem 0.1] we can conclude that $\widetilde{M}$ is either a plane or a half-plane. However, the norm of the second fundamental form of $\widetilde{M}$ has value one at the origin, in particular $\widetilde{M}$ is neither a plane nor a half-plane. This gives a contradiction and proves the estimate. $\square$

In the following lemma the dimension n , of the ambient space $\mathbb{R}^n$, need not be equal to 3.

Lemma 4.5. *Given $\Delta > 0$ there exists a constant $N = N(\Delta)$ such that the following holds. If $(\Gamma, M) \in P(\varepsilon, \alpha)$ and M is C^2 up to and including the boundary with $r_0 \sup_M |A| < \Delta$, then M has genus less than N .*

Proof. The Gauss-Bonnet Theorem states that

$$\int_{\Gamma} \vec{k} \cdot \vec{\nu}_M ds + \int_M K_M = 2\pi\chi(M),$$

where $\vec{k}$ is the curvature vector of the curve Γ , $\vec{\nu}_M$ is the exterior normal of M , K_M the Gauss curvature and $\chi(M)$ is the Euler characteristic of M . The first integral is bounded in absolute value by the total curvature of Γ . By the Gauss equation, the second integral can be bounded as follows.

$$\left| \int_M K_M \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_M |A|^2 + \int_M H^2 \right).$$

Note that $|H|^2 \leq 2|A|^2$. Using the assumption on $|A|$ and Theorem 3.1, in particular Remark 3.2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_M |H|^2 + |A|^2 &\leq \frac{3}{2} \int_{M \cap B(r_0)} |A|^2 \leq \Delta^2 r_0^{-2} m(r_0) r_0^2 \\ &\leq \frac{3}{2} \frac{\Delta^2 \pi}{1 - \frac{\alpha \Lambda r_0^\alpha}{2}} \Theta(\text{Cone}_{x_0} \Gamma, x_0) \leq \frac{3\Delta^2 \pi}{2 - \alpha \delta} \frac{4 - \varepsilon}{2} \leq 3\pi \Delta^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $|\chi(M)|$ is bounded and so is the genus. Note that $\alpha \delta < 1$ and $\varepsilon \leq 2$. $\square$

Corollary 4.6. *Given $\lambda > 0$, $\gamma > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ there exists a constant $N = N(\lambda, \gamma, \alpha)$ such that the following holds.*

Let Γ be a $C^{2,\alpha}$ closed curve in $\mathbb{R}^3$ and let M be an orientable branched immersion in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with boundary Γ . If $(\Gamma, M) \in P(\varepsilon, \alpha)$, for some $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$, and, in addition, $\rho(\Gamma)/r_0 \geq \gamma$ and the mean curvature H of M is in $C^{0,\alpha}(M)$ satisfying $r_0^{1+\alpha}[H]_{C^{0,\alpha}(M)} \leq \lambda$, then M has genus less than N .

5 Appendix

In this appendix we show that if $H \in L^p(M)$, $p > 2$, and $\|H\|_{L^p(M)}$ is sufficiently small, then M satisfies the property (P), see [4].

Lemma 5.1. *If $H \in L^\infty(M)$, then setting $\|H\|_\infty = \|H\|_{L^\infty(M)}$ we have for any $r > 0$:*

$$\int_{M \cap B(r)} |H| dA \leq \|H\|_\infty \text{Area}(M \cap B(r)) \leq \|H\|_\infty m(r) r^2$$

so H satisfies (P) with $\alpha = 1$, $\Lambda = \|H\|_\infty$.

Assume now that $H \in L^p(M)$ for some $p \in (2, \infty)$.

Lemma 5.2. *If $H \in L^p(M)$, with $p > 2$, then for any $0 < \sigma < r < \infty$*

$$m(\sigma)^{1/p} \leq m(r)^{1/p} + \frac{\|H\|_p}{p-2} (r^{1-2/p} - \sigma^{1-2/p}) \quad (13)$$

where $\|H\|_p = \|H\|_{L^p(M)}$.

If we also assume that $x_0 \in \overline{M}$ and

$$\|H\|_p r^{1-2/p} \leq \frac{p-2}{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{1/p}$$

then

$$m(r)^{1/p} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{1/p}.$$

Proof. By using Holder inequality in the second inequality in (4), gives

$$m'(r) \geq -r^{-2} \int_{M \cap B(r)} |H| dA \geq -\|H\|_p r^{-2/p} m(r)^{1-1/p} \implies$$

$$p \frac{d}{dr} (m(r)^{1/p}) \geq -\|H\|_p r^{-2/p} \implies \frac{d}{dr} (m(r)^{1/p}) \geq -\frac{\|H\|_p}{p-2} \frac{d}{dr} r^{1-2/p}.$$

Integrating from σ to r implies (13).

If $x_0 \in \overline{M}$, we have that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} m(r) = \pi \Theta(x, M') \geq \pi/2.$$

If $x_0 \in \overline{M} \setminus \Gamma$ then actually $\Theta(x_0, M') \geq 1$. Hence, letting $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ in (13) and using the assumption on $\|H\|_p$ we get that

$$m(r)^{1/p} \geq \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{1/p} - \frac{\|H\|_p r^{1-2/p}}{p-2} \geq \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{1/p} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{1/p} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{1/p}.$$

□

Corollary 5.3. *If $H \in L^p(M)$, with $p > 2$ and*

$$\|H\|_p r_0^{1-2/p} \leq \frac{p-2}{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{1/p}$$

then for any $r > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{M \cap B_r} |H| dA &\leq \|H\|_p \text{Area}(M \cap B(r))^{1-1/p} \leq \|H\|_p r^{2-2/p} m(r) m(r)^{-1/p} \\ &\leq 2 \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/p} \|H\|_p r^{2-2/p} m(r) \end{aligned}$$

and thus H satisfies (P) with $\alpha = 1 - 2/p$ and

$$\Lambda = \frac{2p}{p-2} \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/p} \|H\|_p.$$

References

- [1] J. Choe and R. Gulliver. Embedded minimal surfaces and total curvature of curves in a manifold. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 10(2-3):343–362, 2003. MR1981908, Zbl 1055.53044.

- [2] T. Ekholm, B. White, and D. Wienholtz. Embeddedness of minimal surfaces with total curvature at most 4π . *Ann. of Math.*, 155:209–234, 2002. MR1888799, Zbl 1017.53013.
- [3] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2nd edition, 1983. MR0737190, Zbl 0562.35001.
- [4] L. Simon. Lectures on geometric measure theory. In *Proceedings of the Center for Mathematical Analysis*, volume 3, Canberra, Australia, 1983. Australian National University. MR0756417, Zbl 546.49019.
- [5] G. Tinaglia. Curvature bounds for minimal surfaces with total boundary curvature at most 4π . *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 137:2445–2450, 2009.